

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Cr.Misc. No.32852 of 2008

RAM PUKAR KUMAR & ANR

Versus

STATE OF BIHAR

2. 11.9.2008.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the State.

The allegation is that because of demand of dowry negotiation of marriage of the daughter of the informant with petitioner no.1, Ram Pukar Kumar, was broken.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegation is false. The daughter of the complainant was married to some other place. So far as demand of dowry is concerned it is after thought.

Considering the facts, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. The petitioners, Ram Pukar Kumar and Ramchandra Sahni are directed to surrender before S.D.J.M.(West), Muzaffarpur within a period of four weeks from today and on their so surrendering they shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of S.D.J.M.(West) Muzaffarpur in connection with Complaint Case No.401 of 2007,Tr.No.1450 of 2008 subject to the conditions as laid down under section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Md.S.

(Mridula Mishra,J.)